# MATH2050B Mathematical Analysis I

Midterm Test 2 suggested Solution<sup>\*</sup>

**Question 1**. Let  $I(\neq \emptyset)$  be an interval and  $f: I \to \mathbb{R}$ . State the definitions/notations:

(i) *f* is continuous (cts) at  $x_0 \in I$ .

(ii) *f* is uniformly continuous on *I*.

State the negation for each of (i), (ii).

### **Solution:**

(i) We say that *f* is continuous at  $x_0$  if, given any number  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\delta(\varepsilon, x_0) > 0$  such that if *x* is any point of *I* satisfying  $|x - x_0| < \delta(\varepsilon, x_0)$ , then  $|f(x) - f(x_0)| < \varepsilon$ .

Negation: There exists  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  such that for any  $\delta > 0$ , there exists  $x' \in I$  satisfying  $|x'-x_0| < \delta$ , such that  $|f(x') - f(x_0)| \geq \varepsilon_0$ .

(ii) We say that *f* is uniformly continuous on *I* if for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is a  $\delta(\varepsilon) > 0$  such that if *x*, *y* ∈ *I* are any numbers satisfying  $|x - y| < \delta(\varepsilon)$ , then  $|f(x) - f(y)| < \varepsilon$ .

Negation: There exists an  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  such that for every  $\delta > 0$  there are points  $x_{\delta}, y_{\delta}$  in *I* such that  $|x_{\delta} - y_{\delta}| < \delta$  and  $|f(x_{\delta}) - f(y_{\delta})| \geq \varepsilon_0$ .

The negation can be alternatively be stated: There exists an  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  and two sequences  $(x_n)$  and  $(y_n)$  in I such that  $\lim_{n \to \infty} (x_n - y_n) = 0$  and  $|f(x_n) - f(y_n)| \geq \varepsilon_0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Question 2**. In the terminology of  $\varepsilon - \delta$ , show that

$$
\lim_{x \to 3} \frac{x^2 + 7}{x - 2} = 16.
$$

**Solution:** Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ , take  $\delta(\varepsilon) = \min\{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{\varepsilon}{35}\}.$ 

Suppose  $|x-3| < \delta(\varepsilon)$ , then

$$
-\frac{1}{2} < x - 3 < \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{i.e.} \quad \frac{5}{2} < x < 4,
$$

which implies that  $x - 2 > \frac{1}{2}$  and  $|x - 13| < \frac{21}{2}$ .

<sup>∗</sup>please kindly send an email to cyma@math.cuhk.edu.hk if you have any question.

It follows that

$$
\left|\frac{x^2+7}{x-2} - 16\right| = \left|\frac{x^2+7-16x+32}{x-2}\right|
$$

$$
= \left|\frac{x^2-16x+39}{x-2}\right|
$$

$$
= \frac{|x-3| \cdot |x-13|}{|x-2|}
$$

$$
< \frac{|x-3| \cdot |x-13|}{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

$$
< \frac{\delta(\varepsilon) \cdot 17}{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

$$
= 34\delta(\varepsilon)
$$

$$
< \varepsilon.
$$

Therefore  $\lim_{x \to 3} \frac{x^2 + 7}{x - 2} = 16$ .

**Question 3**. Let  $I = [a, b]$  and suppose that  $f$  is cts on  $[a, b]$ . Show that

- (i)  $f$  is uniformly cts on  $[a, b]$ .
- (ii) If  $f(a) > 0 > f(b)$  then  $\exists x_0 \in (a, b)$  such that  $f(x_0) = 0$ .

### **Solution:**

(i) *Proof.* Suppose on the contrary that *f* is not uniformly continuous on *I*. Then, by Q1(ii), there exist  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  and two sequences  $(x_n)$  and  $(y_n)$  in I such that  $|x_n - y_n| < 1/n$  and  $|f(x_n) - f(y_n)| \ge$  $\varepsilon_0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since *I* is bounded, the sequence  $(x_n)$  is bounded; by the Bolzano-Weierstrass Theorem, there is a subsequence  $(x_{n_k})$  of  $(x_n)$  that converges to an element *z*. Since  $a \leq x_{n_k} \leq b$ for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we obtain  $a \leq \lim x_{n_k} \leq b$ . It follows that the limit *z* belongs to *I*. It is clear that the corresponding subsequence  $(y_{n_k})$  also converges to *z*, since

$$
|y_{n_k} - z| \le |y_{n_k} - x_{n_k}| + |x_{n_k} - z|.
$$

Now if f is continuous at the point z, then both of the sequences  $(f(x_{n_k}))$  and  $(f(y_{n_k}))$  must converge to  $f(z)$ . But this is not possible since

$$
|f(x_n) - f(y_n)| \ge \varepsilon_0
$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Thus the hypothesis that f is not uniformly continuous on the closed bounded interval *I* implies that *f* is not continuous at some point  $z \in I$ . Consequently, if *f* is continuous at every point of *I*, then *f* is uniformly continuous on *I*.

## (ii) **Method 1:**

*Proof.* We will generate a sequence of intervals by successive bisections. Let  $I_1 := [a_1, b_1]$ , where  $a_1 := a, b_1 := b$ , and let  $p_1$  be the midpoint  $p_1 := \frac{1}{2}(a_1 + b_1)$ . If  $f(p_1) = 0$ , we take  $x_0 := p_1$ 

and we are done. If  $f(p_1) \neq 0$ , then either  $f(p_1) > 0$  or  $f(p_1) < 0$ . If  $f(p_1) > 0$ , then we set  $a_2 := p_1, b_2 := b_1$ , while if  $f(p_1) < 0$  then we set  $a_2 := a_1, b_2 := p_1$ . In either case, we let *I*<sub>2</sub> :=  $[a_2, b_2]$ ; then we have  $I_2 \subset I_1$  and  $f(a_2) > 0, f(b_2) < 0$ .

We continue the bisection process. Suppose that the intervals  $I_1, I_2, \ldots, I_k$  have been obtained by successive bisection in the same manner. Then we have  $f(a_k) > 0$  and  $f(b_k) < 0$ , and we set  $p_k := \frac{1}{2}(a_k + b_k)$ . If  $f(p_k) = 0$ , we take  $x_0 := p_k$  and we are done. If  $f(p_k) > 0$ , we set  $a_{k+1} := p_k, b_{k+1} := b_k$ , while if  $f(p_k) < 0$ , we set  $a_{k+1} := a_k, b_{k+1} := p_k$ . In either case, we let  $I_{k+1} := [a_{k+1}, b_{k+1}]$ ; then  $I_{k+1} \subset I_k$  and  $f(a_{k+1}) > 0, f(b_{k+1}) < 0$ .

If the process terminates by locating a point  $p_n$  such that  $f(p_n) = 0$ , then we are done. If the process does not terminate, then we obtain a nested sequence of closed bounded intervals  $I_n$  :=  $[a_n, b_n]$  such that for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we have

$$
f(a_n) > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad f(b_n) < 0.
$$

Furthermore, since the intervals are obtained by repeated bisection, the length of  $I_n$  is equal to  $b_n - a_n = (b - a)/2^{n-1}$ . It follows from the Nested Intervals Property that there exists a point *x*<sub>0</sub> that belongs to  $I_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $a_n \le x_0 \le b_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\lim (b_n - a_n) = 0$ , it follows that  $\lim_{n \to \infty} (a_n) = x_0 = \lim_{n \to \infty} (b_n)$ . Since f is continuous at  $x_0$ , we have

$$
\lim (f (a_n)) = f(x_0) = \lim (f (b_n)).
$$

The fact that  $f(a_n) > 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  implies that  $f(x_0) = \lim (f(a_n)) \ge 0$ . Also, the fact that  $f(b_n) < 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  implies that  $f(x_0) = \lim (f(b_n)) \leq 0$ . Thus, we conclude that  $f(x_0) = 0$ . Consequently,  $x_0$  is a root of  $f$ .

### **Method 2:**

*Proof.* Let  $E := \{x \in [a, b] : f(x) > 0\}$ . By the continuity of f on [a, b], there exist a', b' with  $a < a' < b' < b$  such that

$$
f(x) > 0 \ge f(u), \quad \text{ for any } x \in [a, a'], \quad \text{ for any } x \in (x_0, b).
$$

Then  $[a, a'] \subseteq E$  and thus *E* is non-empty.

Let

$$
x_0 = \sup E \in [a', b'],
$$

which exists by the completeness of R. We claim that  $f(x_0) = 0$ .

By the definition of sup *E*, there exists an increasing sequence  $(x_n)$  in *E* convergent to  $x_0$ , and a decreasing sequence  $(u_n)$  in  $(x_0, b] \setminus E$  convergent to  $x_0$  (eg.  $u_n = x_0 + \frac{1}{n}$  with all large enough *n* such that  $x_0 + \frac{1}{n} < b$ .

Then, we have  $f(x_n) > 0 \ge f(u_n)$ , and it follows that

$$
f(x_0) = \lim_{n \to \infty} f(x_n) \ge 0 \ge \lim_{n \to \infty} f(u_n) = f(x_0).
$$

This proves that  $f(x_0) = 0$ . Finally,  $x_0 \neq a, b$  since f is nonzero at the endpoints, so  $a < x_0 < b$ .

**Question 4**. State (without proof) all results/theorems that you have used in your answers for Q3.

**The Bolzano-Weierstrass Theorem:** A bounded sequence of real numbers has a convergent subsequence.

**Sequential Criterion for Continuity:** A function  $f: I \to \mathbb{R}$  is continuous at the point  $c \in I$ if and only if for every sequence  $(x_n)$  in *I* that converges to *c*, the sequence  $(f(x_n))$  converges to *f*(*c*)*.*

**Nested Intervals Theorem:** If  $I_n = [a_n, b_n]$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , is a nested sequence of closed bounded intervals, then there exists a number  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\xi \in I_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Order preserving for limits of sequence:** Let  $(x_n)$  be a convergent sequence in R. If  $x_n \geq 0$ for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n \ge 0$ . Also, if  $\alpha < \lim_{n} y_n < \beta$  then there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\alpha < y_n < \beta$  for all  $n \geq N$ .